Closure Stage Quality Assurance Report

00068329

Needs Improvement

Overall Project Rating:

Project Number :

Project Title :	Poverty-Environment Initi	ative Phase 2 Lao PDR, Research Component		
Project Date :	ct Date : 01-Oct-2012			
Strategic	Quality Rating: Needs Improvement			
	ro-actively take advantage of I (select the option from 1-3 wh	new opportunities and adapt its theory of cha ich best reflects this project)	ange to respond to changes in the develop	oment context, including changing
required adjustme	nts in the theory of change. The	documented a comprehensive horizon scanning e is clear evidence that the project board conside. (both must be true to select this option)		
discussed the scal	nning and its implications for the	rizon scanning over the life of the project to ider project, as reflected in the board minutes. Ther ge, RRF, partnerships, etc. (all must be true to s	e is some evidence that the project took actic	
	nited to no evidence that the proj	w opportunities and changes in the developmen ect team has considered changes to the project		
Evidence				
monitored the dev However no subst	as closed in Dec 2015. The proje relopment context during its impl tantial changes in national priorit pment needs were observed thro	ementation. ies,		
2. Was the project a	aligned with the thematic focu	s of the Strategic Plan? (select the option fro	om 1-3 that best reflects the project)	
		areas of development <u>work</u> as specified in the S sed analysis incorporated into the project. The p		
	et responded to one of the three a to select this option)	areas of development <u>work</u> as specified in the S	trategic Plan. The project's RRF included at I	least one SP output indicator, if relevant.
	development issue. None of the	ne of the three areas of development <u>work</u> as s relevant SP indicators were included in the proje		
Evidence				
The project fall under \$ 7.6.1.A.1.1; 7.6.2. 7.6.2.A.1.2	SP Outcome 7 and three indicate A.1.1;	ors:		
3. Evidence genera	ted through the project was ex	xplicitly used to confirm or adjust the progra	mme/CPD's theory of change during imple	ementation.
O Yes				
No				
Evidence				
current CPD 2012	inge is not explicitly mentioned in 2-2016, it is difficult to validate if the een confirmed or adjusted based	he		
List of Uploaded Do	ocuments			
File Name		Modified By	Modified	
CPD_2012-2015_L	ao_PDR_FINAL.pdf	chitlatda.keomuongchanh@undp.org	1/15/2017 5:40:33 AM	
2016 Exec Board	Doc CPD Extensions.pdf	chitlatda.keomuongchanh@undp.org	1/15/2017 5:42:12 AM	

4. Were the project's targeted groups systematica them? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflect 3: Systematic and structured feedback was comproject's monitoring system. Representatives from evidence that their feedback informed decision male 2: Targeted groups were engaged in implement collected regularly to ensure the project addressed 1: Some beneficiary feedback may have been collected. Not Applicable	s the project) sllected regularly from a represent the targeted group were activing. (all must be true to selected and monitoring, with local priorities. This information	esentative sample of ben we members of the projec ect this option)	eficiaries, with a priority focus on the excluct's governance mechanism (i.e., project b	 uded and marginalized, as part of the
project's monitoring system. Representatives from evidence that their feedback informed decision mal 2: Targeted groups were engaged in impleme collected regularly to ensure the project addressed 1: Some beneficiary feedback may have been collected.	the targeted group were activing. (all must be true to sele- ntation and monitoring, with local priorities. This information	ive members of the project this option) a priority focus on the exc	ct's governance mechanism (i.e., project b	
collected regularly to ensure the project addressed 1: Some beneficiary feedback may have been collected.	local priorities. This informa			
collected.		tion was used to inform p		
Not Applicable	collected, but this information	on did not inform project o	decision making. This option should also b	e selected if no beneficiary feedback was
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Evidence				
According to the results from the project mid-term review, the is highly relevant to Lao PDR (page 3). The direct beneficially for this project is the government count at the national and central level. The project provid economic research service to other PEI outputs for planning. The project engage all government depa each output to participate in identifying the researc and invite them to participated in the scoping meet design the research methodology as well. (see 20' progress report, page 18 which indicated who partitle identification of the research topic).	terparts es better rtments in h topics ing to 15 annual			
List of Uploaded Documents				
File Name			Modified By	Modified
FINAL Lao Country Evaluation Report Final Draf	ft.pdf		chitlatda.keomuongchanh@undp.org	1/15/2017 12:54:03 PM
2015 PEI Lao Annual Report RCB All Outputs I	Reflect_AP_SDC_Final_w_t	rack_change.pdf	chitlatda.keomuongchanh@undp.org	1/15/2017 3:54:09 PM
5. Did the project generate knowledge, particularly changes/course corrections to ensure the continuoption from 1-3 that best reflects the project) 3: Knowledge and lessons learned (gained, for analysis and monitoring were regularly discussed in needed, and changes were made to the project to the project to the project as a result to enter the project as a result the project as a result to enter the project as a result to e	or example, from Peer Assist in project board meetings an ensure its continued relevan- relatively limited evidence, a sure its continued relevance	s, After Action Reviews or d reflected in the minutes ce. (both must be true to drawn mainly from within b. (both must be true to se	r Lessons Learned Workshops) backed by . There is clear evidence that the project's select this option) the project, were considered by the project elect this option)	recredible evidence from evaluation, theory of change was adjusted, as
results from PEI mid-term review has listed knowle tools/outputs of PEI in page 30. In addition, the mid review also noted that PEI team has learnt lessons strategic and operational issues and these are recordal as system and the progress reports (page 63, up question 4). The terminal report (page11) also door learnt on how to make the design of the research but this lessons learnt, it is expected that NERI could stheir network in forming the research advisory board.	d-Term s on orded in the ploaded under ument lesson petter. From			
List of Uploaded Documents				
File Name Modified By		Modified		
	uongchanh@undp.org			

	matically gathered data and evidence on the roorm adjustments and changes, as appropriate.	elevance of the special measures in addressing gender inequalities and empowering women. Analysis of data (both must be true to select this option)
2: The project team had s		te special measures in addressing gender inequalities and empowering women. There is evidence that at least
	appropriate. This option should also be selec	special measures in addressing gender inequalities and empowering women. No evidence that adjustments ted if the project had no special measures in addressing gender inequalities and empowering women relevant
Evidence		
The project doesn't have specific g in the RRF. However gender a implementing project activities of research team and design o methodology.	such as training, composition	
7. Was the project sufficiently a reflects the project)	at scale, or is there potential to scale up in	the future, to meaningfully contribute to development change? (select the option from 1-3 that best
 3: There is credible evider change) to meaningfully contrib 		er of beneficiaries (either directly through significant coverage of target groups, or indirectly, through policy
 2: While the project was n project results to advocate for p 		s in place to scale up the initiative in the future (e.g. by extending its coverage in a second phase or using
1: The project was not at a	scale, and there are no plans currently to scale	e up the initiative in the future.
The project did not plan to scale up.		
Social & Environmental Standa		Quality Potings Catiofoctoms
Social & Environmental Standar	us	Quality Rating: Satisfactory
o. Dia the project cook to farth	or the realization of manian rights doing a n	uman rights-based approach? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)
on enjoyment of human rights of 2: There is some evidence	were actively identified, managed and mitigate	ation of human rights, on the basis of applying a human rights based approach. Any potential adverse impacts and through the project's management of risks. (all must be true to select this option) on of human rights. Potential adverse impacts on the enjoyment of human rights were identified and adequately
on enjoyment of human rights 2: There is some evidence mitigated through the project's	were actively identified, managed and mitigate e that the project aimed to further the realization management of risks. (both must be true to se	ation of human rights, on the basis of applying a human rights based approach. Any potential adverse impacts and through the project's management of risks. (all must be true to select this option) on of human rights. Potential adverse impacts on the enjoyment of human rights were identified and adequately
on enjoyment of human rights v 2: There is some evidence mitigated through the project's 1: There is no evidence the	were actively identified, managed and mitigate e that the project aimed to further the realization management of risks. (both must be true to se	ation of human rights, on the basis of applying a human rights based approach. Any potential adverse impacts and through the project's management of risks. (all must be true to select this option) on of human rights. Potential adverse impacts on the enjoyment of human rights were identified and adequately elect this option)
on enjoyment of human rights of the state of the project's of the project's of the project's of the project takes into account takes into account the project takes into account the proje	were actively identified, managed and mitigate that the project aimed to further the realization management of risks. (both must be true to see that the project aimed to further the realization of the project aimed to further the realization of the project aimed to further the project aimed to further the realization of the p	ation of human rights, on the basis of applying a human rights based approach. Any potential adverse impacts and through the project's management of risks. (all must be true to select this option) on of human rights. Potential adverse impacts on the enjoyment of human rights were identified and adequately elect this option)
on enjoyment of human rights of the state of	were actively identified, managed and mitigate that the project aimed to further the realization management of risks. (both must be true to see that the project aimed to further the realization of the project aimed to further the realization of the project aimed to further the project aimed to further the realization of the p	ation of human rights, on the basis of applying a human rights based approach. Any potential adverse impacts and through the project's management of risks. (all must be true to select this option) on of human rights. Potential adverse impacts on the enjoyment of human rights were identified and adequately select this option) of human rights. There is limited to no evidence that potential adverse impacts on the enjoyment of human rights. There is limited to no evidence that potential adverse impacts on the enjoyment of human
on enjoyment of human rights of the control of the project's and the project's are the control of the project's are the project takes into account the increase of takes of the project takes into account the increase of the project takes into account the increase of the project takes in the project takes of the project takes of the project takes in the project takes in the project takes of the project takes of the project takes in the project takes of the project takes in the project takes in the project takes in the project takes into account the increase of the project takes into account takes in the project takes into account takes i	were actively identified, managed and mitigate that the project aimed to further the realization management of risks. (both must be true to see that the project aimed to further the realization of the project aimed to further the realization of the project aimed to further the project aimed to further the realization of the p	ation of human rights, on the basis of applying a human rights based approach. Any potential adverse impacts and through the project's management of risks. (all must be true to select this option) on of human rights. Potential adverse impacts on the enjoyment of human rights were identified and adequately elect this option)
on enjoyment of human rights of the project's of the project's of the project's of the project takes into account the research on contract farming recommends the government local people have a right to account the project takes into account the research on contract farming recommends the government local people have a right to account the project takes in the project takes into account the project takes	were actively identified, managed and mitigate that the project aimed to further the realization of management of risks. (both must be true to see that the project aimed to further the realization of management of human rights. The exported on the impact of the pacts local farmers, and to pay attention to this issue, as coess information and to use brief). The contract do that the contract farming benefit the farmers as many of contacts and did not see a	ation of human rights, on the basis of applying a human rights based approach. Any potential adverse impacts and through the project's management of risks. (all must be true to select this option) on of human rights. Potential adverse impacts on the enjoyment of human rights were identified and adequately select this option) of human rights. There is limited to no evidence that potential adverse impacts on the enjoyment of human rights. There is limited to no evidence that potential adverse impacts on the enjoyment of human
on enjoyment of human rights of the control of the	were actively identified, managed and mitigate that the project aimed to further the realization management of risks. (both must be true to se that the project aimed to further the realization of management of risks. (both must be true to se that the project aimed to further the realization of management of human rights. The teported on the impact of the teported	ation of human rights, on the basis of applying a human rights based approach. Any potential adverse impacts and through the project's management of risks. (all must be true to select this option) on of human rights. Potential adverse impacts on the enjoyment of human rights were identified and adequately elect this option) of human rights. There is limited to no evidence that potential adverse impacts on the enjoyment of human if human rights. There is limited to no evidence that potential adverse impacts on the enjoyment of human Modified
on enjoyment of human rights of the control of the	were actively identified, managed and mitigate that the project aimed to further the realization management of risks. (both must be true to se that the project aimed to further the realization of management of risks. (both must be true to se that the project aimed to further the realization of management of human rights. The teported on the impact of the teported	ation of human rights, on the basis of applying a human rights based approach. Any potential adverse impacts and through the project's management of risks. (all must be true to select this option) on of human rights. Potential adverse impacts on the enjoyment of human rights were identified and adequately elect this option) of human rights. There is limited to no evidence that potential adverse impacts on the enjoyment of human Modified 1/15/2017 4:05:45 PM ded to human rights, gender and environment) successfully managed and monitored in accordance with
on enjoyment of human rights of the control of the	were actively identified, managed and mitigate that the project aimed to further the realization management of risks. (both must be true to se that the project aimed to further the realization of management of risks. (both must be true to se that the project aimed to further the realization of management of human rights. The teported on the impact of the teported	ation of human rights, on the basis of applying a human rights based approach. Any potential adverse impacts and through the project's management of risks. (all must be true to select this option) on of human rights. Potential adverse impacts on the enjoyment of human rights were identified and adequately elect this option) of human rights. There is limited to no evidence that potential adverse impacts on the enjoyment of human Modified 1/15/2017 4:05:45 PM ded to human rights, gender and environment) successfully managed and monitored in accordance with

The project had no social or environmental risks.			
iisks.			
10. Were any unanticipated social and environmer plans updated? (for projects that did not experien			
Yes			
○ No			
Evidence			
The			
project did not encounter any unanticipated social of environmental risks or grievances during its implementation.	זר		
Management & Monitoring	Quali	ty Rating: Satisfactory	
11. Was the project's M&E Plan adequately implen	nented? (select the option from 1-3 that best	reflects the project)	
	Evaluations, if conducted, fully met decentralize	d evaluation standards, including g	according to the frequency stated in the project's M&E gender UNEG standards, and management responses t be true to select this option)
2: Progress data against indicators in the proje	ect's RRF was collected on a regular basis, althous reliable. Any evaluations conducted meet mo	ough there may have been some sli st decentralized evaluation standar	ippage in following the frequency stated in the rds; management responses were fully implemented
1: Progress data either was not collected again standards; and/or lessons learned were rarely capture.		data was collected but not regularly	y; evaluations did not meet decentralized evaluation
Evidence			
The project has a Monitoring and Evaluation Frameworl p. 23) which was implemented through Monitoring: Communication Log, attached as Annex 2 in each in Progress Report (see example, Q3 progress report example, the 2015 annual progress report has bee against the project indicators, baseline, and target in the RRF (page 89-97, uploaded in question 5). To challenges/lessons learnt were also captured in the report page 34-35.	and Quarterly t). As an n reported specified The		
List of Uploaded Documents			
File Name	Modified By	Modified	
PEI_Phase_2_Framework_Final_July_30.pdf	chitlatda.keomuongchanh@undp.org	1/15/2017 4:12:29 PM	
12. Did the project's governance mechanism (i.e.,	the project board or equivalent) function as	ntended? (select the option from	n 1-3 that best reflects the project)
reviewed and used evidence, including progress da plan.) (all must be true to select this option)	annual) progress reporting to the project board ta, knowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the	or equivalent on results, risks and o basis for informing management de	opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly ecisions (e.g., change in strategy, approach, work
least once per year, covering results, risks and opportunity	ortunities. (both must be true to select this option	1)	nt was submitted to the project board or equivalent at
 The project's governance mechanism did not n the project as intended. 	net in the frequency stated in the project docume	ent, and/or the project board or equ	ivalent did not function as a decision making body for
Evidence			
The Project Steering Committee Meeting and the Annua Meeting are organized once a year. Minutes of mee filed properly. The ARM and PSC Meetings are usu also by UNDP Bangkok Regional Hub Technical Ac ad-hoc meetings were also organized as needed a the progress to the board. The results of the meetir used by the Project Steering Committee to guide the of the project in the following year and to make cha	etings were ually attended dvisors.The nd reported on ngs were ne priorities		
the project activities as appropriate. Minutes of ARI	√l/Board		

Meeting are attached.			
List of Uploaded Documents			
File Name	Modified By	Modified	
Minute_of_PEI_Board_Meeting_July_2013.pdf	chitlatda.keomuongchanh@undp.org	1/15/2017 7:03:43 AM	
Annual_Review_Meeting_12_NOV_2014pdf	chitlatda.keomuongchanh@undp.org	1/15/2017 7:04:19 AM	
Annual_Review_Meeting_20160001.pdf	chitlatda.keomuongchanh@undp.org	1/15/2017 7:04:57 AM	
13. Were risks to the project adequately monitored	and managed? (select the option from 1-3 t	hat best reflects the project)	
 3: The project actively monitored risks every questo assess if the main assumptions remain valid. The and some evidence that risk mitigation has benefitte 	re is clear evidence that relevant management	plans and mitigating measures were fully imple	
 2: The project monitored risks every quarter, as true to select this option) 	evidenced by a regularly updated risk log. Son	me updates were made to management plans	and mitigation measures. (both must be
1: The risk log was not updated every quarter a but there is no explicit evidence that management as			
Evidence			
Progress report has identified project issues, risks and lesson learned logs have been updated regularly. The update logs were attached as an annex to the project terminage 22-24, upload under question 5.	ate of these		
J.			
Efficient	Qual	ity Rating: Satisfactory	
14. Adequate resources were mobilized to achieve	intended results. If not, management decisi	ons were taken to adjust expected results i	n the project's results framework.
Yes			
○ No			
Evidence			
The project has no funding gap.			
15. Were project inputs procured and delivered on	time to efficiently contribute to results? (se	lect the option from 1-3 that best reflects th	e project)
3: The project had a procurement plan and kep bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner as			
2: The project had a procurement plan and kep appropriate management actions. (all must be true to the content of the con		erational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a tin	nely manner and addressed them through
1: The project did not have an updated procure were not taken to address them. This option is also:			egularly, however management actions
Evidence			
Project	·		
monthly meeting has been organized regularly. The the project inputs, issues/challenges have been add ensure delivery of the project outputs/products, whic immediate actions have been identified, coordinated implemented on timely manner.	ressed to ch		

Modified

2/1/2017 8:38:08 AM

List of Uploaded Documents

ProcurmentPlan2015NERI.pdf

File Name

Modified By

chitlatda.keomuongchanh@undp.org

16. Was there regular monitoring and recording of cost efficiencies, taking into account the expected quality of results? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the	project)			
3: There is evidence that the project regularly reviewed costs against relevant comparators (e.g., other projects or country offices) or industry benchmarks to ensure the project maximized results delivered with given resources. The project actively coordinated with other relevant ongoing projects and initiatives (UNDP or other) to ensure complementarity sought efficiencies wherever possible (e.g. joint activities.) (both must be true to select this option)				
2: The project monitored its own costs and gave anecdotal examples of cost efficiencies (e.g., spending less to get the same result,) but there was no systematic analysis of costs and no link to the expected quality of results delivered. The project communicated with a few other projects to coordinate activities. (both must be true to select this option)				
1: There is little or no evidence that the project monitored its own costs and considered ways to save money beyond following standard procurement rules. It is not clear that between cost savings and quality of results was made.	the link			
Evidence				
The				
project established the Research Advisory Committee (RAC)without extra HR cost. The sitting members of RAC worked on a voluntary basis. The result of project-mid term review indicated that the programme has generally managed funds and expertise economically to deliver high quality of products and service (page 4). The project implement all procurement following UNDP NIM SOP procedures. In addition, the progress report also capture the expenditure v.s the approve project				
budget (see 2015 progress report, page 89-97, uploaded in question 5).				
Effective Quality Rating: Satisfactory				
17. Is there evidence that project outputs contributed to the achievement of programme outcomes?				
Yes				
O No				
Evidence				
Quarterly progress report has been reported on how the project is contributing to the achievement of programme outcomes (see Q3 progress report as example).				
18. The project delivered its expected outputs.				
Yes				
○ No				
Evidence				
The project delivered its expected outputs (see project terminal report, page 1, uploaded in question 5).				
19. Were there regular reviews of the work plan to ensure that the project was on track to achieve the desired results, and to inform course corrections if needed? (sele option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)	ct the			
3: Quarterly progress data informed regular reviews of the project work plan to ensure that the activities implemented were most likely to achieve the desired results. There is that data and lessons learned (including from evaluations) were used to inform course corrections, as needed. (both must be true to select this option)	s evidence			
2: There was at least one review of the work plan each year with a view to assessing if project activities were on track to achieving the desired development results (i.e., output there is no evidence that data or lessons learned were used to inform the review(s).	outs.)			
1: While the project team may have reviewed the work plan at least once per year to ensure outputs were delivered on time, no link was made to the delivery of desired deveresults. Select this option also if no regular review of the work plan by management took place.	elopment			
Evidence				
Quarterly progress report and quarterly workplan have been prepared and reviewed to ensure that the project is on track to deliver the expected results. Any change to the workplan/activities/budget have been discussed in the monthly meeting and minutes are filed. The results were used to inform the adjustment to the workplan/budget revision.				

20. Were the intended targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, prioritizing the marginalized and excluded, to ensure results were achieved as expected? (select he option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)
3: Targeted groups were systematically identified using credible data sources on their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. There is clear evidence to confirm that targeted groups were reached as intended. The project engaged regularly with targeted groups to assess whether they benefitted as expected and adjustments were made if necessary to refine targeting. (all must be true to select this option)
2: The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, based on some evidence of their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. Some evidence is provided to confirm that project beneficiaries were members of the targeted groups. There was some engagement with beneficiaries to assess whether they benefitted as expected. (all must be true to select this option)
1: The project did not report on specific targeted groups, or there is no evidence to confirm that project beneficiaries have capacity needs or are populations deprived and/or excluded from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. There may have been some engagement with beneficiaries to assess whether they benefitted as expected, but not regularly.
O Not Applicable
Evidence
In PEI Phase I, the project target group and geographical area were identified based on the findings of consultation on poverty-environment linkage in two Northern provinces and two Southern provinces (PEI Phase I ProDoc, p.11). PEI Phase II continues to work in the four provinces and expands to two more provinces. Government staffs and institutions are the main target groups for this project. The project mid-term review report confirmed that the project is highly relevant to Lao PDR, as evidence by the mid-term review report page 3.
21. Were at least 40 per cent of the personnel hired by the project, regardless of contract type, female?
Evidence
The project has 3 NIM project staff (2 female = 67%).
ustainability & National Ownership Quality Rating: Satisfactory
22. Were stakeholders and partners fully engaged in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project
3: Only national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were to fully implement and monitor the project. All relevant stakeholders and partners were fully and actively engaged in the process, playing a lead role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (all must be true to select this option)
2: National systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used in combination with other support (such as country office support or project systems) to implement and monitor the project, as needed. All relevant stakeholders and partners were actively engaged in the process, playing an active role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true to select this option)
1: There was relatively limited or no engagement with national stakeholders and partners in the decision-making, implementation and/or monitoring of the project.
O Not Applicable
Evidence
The government at national and provincial levels are fully engaged and take the leading role in planning, implementation and monitoring of the project activities. The project operates under NIM SOP, which is developed based on national systems especially national procurement system.
23. Were there regular monitoring of changes in capacities and performance of institutions and systems, and were the implementation arrangements adjusted according to changes in partner capacities? (select the option from 1-3 that best reflects the project)
3: Changes in capacities and performance of national institutions and systems were regularly and comprehensively assessed/monitored using clear indicators, rigorous methods of data collection and credible data sources. There is clear evidence that capacities and performance of national institutions and systems improved by the end of the project, if applicable. Implementation arrangements were formally reviewed and adjusted, if needed, in agreement with partners according to changes in partner capacities. (all must be true to select this option)

2: Aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems were monitored by the project using indicators and reasonably credible data sources. There is limited evidence that capacities and performance of national institutions and systems improved by the end of the project, if applicable. Some adjustment was made to implementation arrangements if needed to reflect changes in partner capacities. (all must be true to select this option)

1: Some aspects of changes in capacities and participating the state of the st			
arrangements were not considered. Also select this of the Not Applicable	option it changes in capacities and performance	of relevant national institutions and system	s were not monitored by the project.
Evidence			
HACT assessment was conducted in 2015 and there hasn's substantial changes in capacities of government could however, regular monitoring of changes in capacitie to the project have been conducted through observar regular monthly meeting. Immediate actions are usu identified and and implemented in line with UNDP Poponor Policy.	interparts. s relevant tion and ally		
4. Were the transition and phase-out arrangement nplementation? (select the option from 1-3 that be	s implemented as planned by the end of the streflects the project)	project, taking into account any adjustm	ents made to the plan during
3: The project's governance mechanism regular track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan (both must be true to select this option)			
 2: There was a review of the project's sustainab out by the plan. The plan was implemented by the er 1: The project may have had a sustainability plan. 	nd of the project, taking into account any adjustr an that specified arrangements for transition and	nents made during implementation. (both m	nust be true to select this option)
this option if the project did not have a sustainability	strategy.		
During 2015 the government staff and project teams particip several South-South Cooperation Missions and exch Mission reports included identification of particular b practices and identified the need for institutionalizatic some of these practices. Project exit strategy and sustainability plans have been developed and prese project annual review meeting.	nanges. est on of		
ist of Uploaded Documents			
File Name	Modified By	Modified	
PEI Lao PDR Sustainability Strategy draft.pdf	chitlatda.keomuongchanh@undp.org	1/15/2017 7:50:31 AM	
PEI Lao PDR Exit Strategy DRAFT.pdf	chitlatda.keomuongchanh@undp.org	1/15/2017 7:50:22 AM	_
5. Please upload the final lessons learned report t	hat was produced for this project.		
ist of Uploaded Documents			
File Name	Modified By	Modified	
Terminal Report NERI lesson learned page11 .pd	f chitlatda.keomuongchanh@undp.org	1/15/2017 4:33:15 PM	

Summary/Final Project Board Comments: